

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte PARAMASIVIAH HARSHAVARDHANA, ODED HAUSER,
FRANK N. HUJBER, RANDOLPH R. KUTZ,
YUFEI WANG, and CATHY H. ZIMA

MAILED

AUG 17 2005

Application No. 09/528,762

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 8, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

On October 22, 2004, appellants filed a reply brief in response to the examiner's answer entered August 18, 2004. However, there is no indication on the record whether or not the examiner has responded to the reply brief. Section § 1208.03 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (8th ed., Aug. 2001) states:

Application No. 09/528,762


[A]ppellant may file a reply brief as a matter of right within 2 months from the mailing date of the examiner's answer. . . . The primary must then either: (A) acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief by using form paragraph 12.47 on form PTOL-90; or (B) reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief. See MPEP § 1208.02.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be returned to the examiner for: 1) proper response to reply brief; and 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of this appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By: 
Craig R. Feinberg
Program and Resource Administrator
(571)272-9797

cc: Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
1300 Post road
Suite 205
Fairfield, CT 06824

CRF/tdl